THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI A. RAJA): (a) to (e) The National Population Policy, 2000 stipulates that a Family Welfare Linked Health Insurance Plan would be established as a motivational measures for wider adoption of the small family norm. As of now, the scheme is proposed only for couples below the poverty line and who undergo sterilization, with not more than 2 children.

The Institute of Health Systems, Hyderabad, has been requested to design and develop a Family Welfare Linked Health Insurance Scheme.

**Inclusion of Hepatitis A, B and C and Chicken Pox vaccines in the UIP**

2695. SHRI RAMACHANDRA KHUNTIA: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to include Hepatitis A, B and C and Chicken Pox vaccines in the Universal Immunisation Programme (UIP);

(b) if so, by when and the annual financial outlay thereof; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI A. RAJA): (a) to (c)The Government have initiated a pilot project, with estimated cost of Rs. 27.19 crores, for introducing Hepatitis B vaccine in the Universal Immunisation Programme. Since this is a pilot activity, the programme will initially be limited to slums of 15 cities during 2002-2003 and in 32 districts in 2003-2004. The names of the cities and districts are annexed as Statement *{See* below). Expansion of the Hepatitis B vaccination programme to additional areas will be considered subsequently. There is no proposal to introduce Hepatitis A & C and Chiken Pox vaccines in the Universal Immunisation Programme.

***Statement***

*List of Cities and Districts where Hepatitis A, B and C and Chicken Pox vaccines have been included under UIP*

List of Cities

| SI. No. | Name of Districts |
|---|---|
| 1 | Greater Mumbai |
| 2 | Kolkata |
| 3 | Chennai |
| 4 | Delhi |
| 5 | Hyderabad |
| 6 | Bangalore |
| 7 | Ahmedabad |
| 8 | Kanpur |
| 9 | Pune |
| 10 | Lucknow |
| 11 | Vadodara |
| 12 | Jaipur |
| 13 | Indore |
| 14 | Patna |
| 15 | Bhopal |

List of Districts

| Sl.No. | State | Sl.No. | District |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Tamil Nadu | 1 | Madurai |
| | | 2 | Nilgiri |
| | | 3 | Virudhnagar |
| | | 4 | Ramanathapuram |
| 2 | Kerala | 5 | Alapuzzha |
| | | 6 | Ernakulam |
| | | 7 | Pathamithan |
| 3 | Karnataka | 8 | Kodagu (Kurg) |
| | | 9 | Shimoga |
| | | 10 | Mysore |

| SI.No. | State | SI.No. | District |
|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | Andhra Pradesh | 11 | Chittor |
| | | 12 | Vijayanagar |
| 5 | Goa | 13 | Goa |
| 6 | Maharashtra | 14 | Ratnagiri |
| | | 15 | Chandrapur |
| | | 16 | Satara |
| 7 | Madhya Pradesh | 17 | Balaghat |
| 8 | Orissa | 18 | Sundergarh |
| 9 | Punjab | 19 | Ropar |
| | | 20 | Hoshiarpur |
| 10 | Haryana | 21 | Panchkula |
| | | 22 | Ambala |
| 11 | Himachal Pradesh | 23 | Hamirpur |
| | | 24 | Solan |
| 12 | Uttaranchal | 25 | Nainital |
| 13 | Pondicherry | 26 | Pondicherry |
| 14 | Lakshadweep | 27 | Lakshadweep |
| 15 | Assam | 28 | Jorhat |
| | | 29 | Sibsagar |
| 16 | Jammu and Kashmir | 30 | Rajouri |
| | | 31 | Udhampur |
| 17 | Gujarat | 32 | Surat |

**Conservation and sustainable use of Medicinal Plants**

2696. SHRI NANDI YELLAIAH: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) the main recommendations of the report of task force on conservation and sustainable use of medicinal plants submitted by Planning Commission in March, 2000;

(b) whether Government have implemented the recommendations;

(c) if so, the details thereof; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?